# **Or-Tree-based Search Example: Constraint Satisfaction**

CPSC 433: Artificial Intelligence Fall 2024

Jonathan Hudson, Ph.D. Assistant Professor (Teaching) Department of Computer Science University of Calgary

August 8, 2024

Copyright © 2024



# **Constraint Satisfaction**



# What is Search For?

- Assumptions about the world: a single agent, deterministic actions, fully observed state, discrete state space
- Planning: sequences of actions
  - The path to the goal is the important thing
  - Paths have various costs, depths
  - Heuristics give problem-specific guidance
- Identification: assignments to variables
  - The goal itself is important, not the path
  - All paths at the same depth (for some formulations)
  - CSPs are specialized for identification problems



#### **Constraint Satisfaction Problems**





# **Constraint Satisfaction Problems**

- Standard search problems:
  - State is a "black box": arbitrary data structure
  - Goal test can be any function over states
  - Successor function can also be anything
- Constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs):
  - A special subset of search problems
  - State is defined by variables X<sub>i</sub> with values from a domain D (sometimes D depends on i)
  - Goal test is a set of constraints specifying allowable combinations of values for subsets of variables
- Allows useful general-purpose algorithms with more power than standard search algorithms





# **CSP Examples**



#### **CSP Examples**





# **Example: Map Coloring**

• Variables: WA, NT, Q, NSW, V, SA, T

• Domains: D = {red, green, blue}

 Constraints: adjacent regions must have different colors Implicit: WA ≠ NT

Explicit:  $(WA, NT) \in \{(red, green), (red, blue), \ldots\}$ 

 Solutions are assignments satisfying all constraints, e.g.: {WA=red, NT=green, Q=red, NSW=green,

V=red, SA=blue, T=green}







# **Constraint Graphs**





### **Example: Cryptarithmetic**

• Variables:

 $F T U W R O X_1 X_2 X_3$ • Domains:

 $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ 

Constraints:

 $\operatorname{alldiff}(F, T, U, W, R, O)$ 

$$O + O = R + 10 \cdot X_1$$

• • •





# **Example: Sudoku**



- Variables:
  - Each (open) square
- Domains:
  - {1,2,...,9}
- Constraints:

9-way alldiff for each column

9-way alldiff for each row

9-way alldiff for each region

(or can have a bunch of pairwise inequality constraints)



# **CSP** Varieties



# **Real-World CSPs**

- Assignment problems: e.g., who teaches what class
- Timetabling problems: e.g., which class is offered when and where?
- Hardware configuration
- Transportation scheduling
- Factory scheduling
- Circuit layout
- Fault diagnosis
- ... lots more!



• Many real-world problems involve real-valued variables...



# Or-tree-based search applied to Constraint Satisfaction









# **Concrete Example: Constraint Satisfaction (I)**

- A constraint satisfaction problem (CSP) consists of
  - a set  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  of variables over some finite, discrete-valued domains  $D = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$  and
  - a set of constraints  $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$ . Each constraint  $C_i$  is a relation over the domains of a subset of the variables, i.e.

$$C_i = R_i(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,k})$$

where the relation  $R_i$  describes every value-tuple in  $D_{i,1} \times \cdots \times D_{i,k}$  that fulfills the constraint.

The problem is to

find a value for each  $X_j$  (out of its  $D_j$ ) that fulfills all  $C_i$ .





# **Constraint Satisfaction: Examples**



# **Constraint Satisfaction (II): Examples**

**1.**  $X = \{X_1, X_2\}$  $D_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$  $D_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ fulfill  $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$  $C_1: X_1 + X_2 \le 4$   $C_2: X_1 + X_2 \ge 3$   $C_3: X_1 \ge 2$ 2.  $X = \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$  $D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = \{true, false\}$ fulfill  $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$  $C_1: X_1 \vee \neg X_2 \vee X_3$   $C_2: \neg X_1 \vee X_3$   $C_3: \neg X_2 \vee \neg X_3$ 



#### **Constraint Satisfaction (II): Examples**

• 
$$X = \{X_1, X_2\}$$
  
 $D_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$   
 $D_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$   
 $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$   
 $C_1: X_1 + X_2 \le 4$   $C_2: X_1 + X_2 \ge 3$   $C_3: X_1 \ge 2$ 





## **Constraint Satisfaction (II): Examples**

• 
$$X = \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$$
  
 $D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = \{true, false\}$   
 $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$   
 $C_1: X_1 \lor \neg X_2 \lor X_3$   $C_2: \neg X_1 \lor X_3$   $C_3: \neg X_2 \lor \neg X_3$ 





# **Constraint Satisfaction: Or-Tree-Based**



# **Constraint Satisfaction (III)**

#### Tasks:

- Describe CSPs as or-tree-based search model
- Describe formally a search control for your model based on the idea of identifying the variable occuring in the most constraints and selecting it and its domain for branching (combined with a depth-criteria and a tiebreaker, if necessary)
- Solve the problem instances from the last slide









# **Search control for CSP example**

Let  $(pr_1,?),...,(pr_o,?)$  be the open leafs in the current state and let  $const(X_j) = |\{C_i \mid C_i \in C, C_i = R_i(X_{i,1},...,X_{i,k}), X_j \in \{X_{i,1},...,X_{i,k}\}\}|$ For a problem  $pr = (x_1,...,x_n)$  let  $Csolved(pr) = |\{C_i \mid C_i \in C, x_1,...,x_n \text{ fulfills } C_i\}|$ 

Then our search control  ${\rm K}~$  selects the leaf to work on and the transition to this leaf







# **Search control for CSP example**

If one of the pr<sub>j</sub> is solved, perform the transition that changes its sol-entry. If there are several, select one of them randomly.

Else if one of the pr<sub>j</sub> is unsolvable, perform the transition that changes its solentry. If there are several, again select one of them randomly.

Else

- select the leaf (pr<sub>i</sub>,?) such that
  - a) Csolved( $pr_j$ ) =  $max_{prl}$ ({Csolved( $pr_l$ )})

b) if there are several, select the deepest leaf in the tree with this property. c) if there are still several, select the one the most left in the tree (tiebreaker without knowledge)



# **Search control for CSP example**

 for the transition select the one with *Altern*(pr<sub>j</sub>,pr<sub>j1</sub>,...,pr<sub>jk</sub>) such that the variable X<sub>i</sub> we use to create the element in *Altern* is the one with maximal Const-value.
 If there are several of those, use the one with minimal index i (tiebreaker without knowledge)



# Remarks



#### Remarks

- And-tree-based and or-tree-based search have a lot in common. The difference from the search problem point of view can be best described as or-tree: one solution and done (one-yes) and-tree: all solutions and done (all-yes)
- Consequently, the criteria used by search controls differ, due to the different goals.
- A lot of problems have transformations into a CSP. Therefore there are a lot of papers on solving CSPs and good controls for it.



## **Performance of Min-Conflicts**

- Given random initial state, can solve n-queens (A standard CSP problem) in almost constant time for arbitrary n with high probability (e.g., n = 10,000,000)!
- The same appears to be true for any randomly-generated CSP *except* in a narrow range of the ratio





# Onward to ... other search models

Jonathan Hudson, Ph.D. jwhudson@ucalgary.ca https://cspages.ucalgary.ca/~jwhudson/

