## Computer Science 331

Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms

Mike Jacobson

Department of Computer Science University of Calgary

Lecture #5-6

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Lecture #5-6

Outline

Objective

2 Types of Analysis

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time

A Single Statement

- A Sequence of Subprograms
- A Conditional Statement
- A Loop
- A Nested Loop
- A Simple Recursive Program
- Lower Bounds

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

References

Objective

## Measuring Efficiency

What sorts of measures could we use? The following are all (sometimes) important:

- Running Time no one wants to wait too long for programs to execute
- Memory Used by Data (Storage Space) time is (sort of) unconstrained, but any computer can run out of memory
- Memory Used by Code an issue if a program is to be stored on a low-memory device (like a smart card)
- Time to Code programmers must be paid and software development usually has deadlines!

Our focus will be on running time and storage space.

Computer Science 331

# How Do We Measure Efficiency?

How can we compare algorithms or programs?

• Run the Code and Time the Execution.

Problem: Execution time is influenced by many factors:

- Hardware (How fast is the CPU? How many of them?)
- Compiler and System Software: (Which OS?)
- Simultaneous User Activity: (Potentially affected by the time of day when the program was executed)
- Choice of Input Data: (Running times can vary on inputs, even inputs of the same "size")
- Programmer's Skill

Analyze the Code

Advantage: Only influenced by choice of data

Disadvantage: Can be quite difficult!

We typically try to do both (analysis supported by execution timings).

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary) Computer Science 331 Lecture #5-6 Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary) Computer Science 331 Lecture #5-6

### What Will We Measure?

Most of the time, in this course, running time and storage space will be measured in an abstract machine-independent way.

#### **Running Time:**

- Number of primitive operations or "steps" (programming language statements) used
- Ignores: different costs between operations (eg. multiply vs. add)

#### Storage Space:

- Number of words of machine memory used, assuming each word can store the same (fixed) number of bits
- Ignores: memory hierarchy differences, eg. cache vs. main memory

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Types of Analysis

## Worst-Case Analysis

Consider the maximal amount of resources (such as longest running time) used by the algorithm, on any input of a given size

#### **Advantages of This Type of Analysis:**

- upper bound on running time (guarantee that the algorithm will not take any longer for any inputs of the given size)
- for some algorithms, worst-case occurs fairly often (eg. searching an array for an element not in it)

### Disadvantage of This Type of Analysis:

• for some cases, the worst case rarely occurs (eg. array in reverse order is the worst case for one variation of quicksort)

### How Do We Wish To Measure Resources?

We will try to measure the amount of resources (time or space) used as a function of the "input size." (defined in various ways, depending on the type of input considered).

**Example:** if the input is an array, the appropriate measure of input size is (usually):

• array length, i.e., number of elements

**Example:** if the input is a single *integer*, which can be virtually as large as we want, the appropriate measure of input size is:

• the bit-length of the integer, i.e., number of bits in its binary representation

Complication: executions of a program on different inputs with the same size frequently have different costs!

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Types of Analysis

# Average-Case Analysis

Consider the average (or "expected") amount of resources (such as average running time) used by the algorithm, for an input of a given size

#### Advantage of This Type of Analysis:

• captures resource consumption for typical inputs

#### Disadvantages of This Type of Analysis:

- executions on some inputs of the given size can take much longer than the average case
- may be difficult to determine what the average case actually is some assumption about the distribution of the inputs is always needed

In some, but not all, cases, the worst-case and average-case running times (or amount of storage space used) are approximately the same.

Types of Analysis

# Other Kinds of Analysis

#### **Best-case Analysis:**

- minimal amount of resources (such as shortest running time) used by the algorithm, on any input of a given size
- occasionally of interest, but usually together with other measures (eg. see whether best and worst cases running times are close)

#### **Amortized Analysis:**

- ratio of total cost of a sequence of operations to the number of operations in the sequence
- similar to average case, except that no assumptions about input distribution are required
- mostly beyond scope of course, but some results will be mentioned

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time A Single Statement

## Case: Program is a Single Statement

#### Example: x := 1

Amount to charge:

• 1 unit (eg. single arithmetic/Boolean operation, comparison, or assignment)

### Example: x := y := 1

Amount to charge:

- 2 units (one per assignment)
- be careful with compound statements
- one line does not always equal one unit!

## Objective and Strategy

**Objective:** use code (or pseudocode) to estimate the worst-case running time of a program (or algorithm).

#### Useful Values:

- Worst-case running time (exact)
- Upper and lower bounds on worst-case running time (easier, often sufficient)

**Strategy:** consider subprograms . . .

- beginning with individual statements . . .
- then considering progressively larger subprograms . . .
- until the whole program has been considered.

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

## Case: Program is a Sequence of Subprograms

**Structure to Consider:**  $S_1$ ;  $S_2$ 

Worst-Case Running Time: If

- worst-case running time of  $S_1$  is  $T_1$ , and
- worst-case running time of  $S_2$  is  $T_2$ ,

then

• worst-case running time of entire program is at most:  $T_1 + T_2$ 

### **Explanation (upper bound because...):**

• worst-case input to  $S_1$  may not yield a worst-case input to  $T_2$ 

# Case: Program is a Conditional Statement

#### Structure to Consider:

if c then  $S_1$ else So end if

#### Worst-Case Running Time: if

- worst-case running time to evaluate c is  $T_1$ ,
- worst-case running time of  $S_1$  is  $T_1$ , and
- worst-case running time of  $S_2$  is  $T_2$ ,

#### then

• worst-case running time of program is:  $T_1 + \max(T_2, T_3)$ 

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time A Loop

## First Objective: Counting Executions of the Loop Body

Recall that a *Loop Variant* is an integer-valued function f of variables such that

- the value of f decreases by at least 1 each time loop body is executed;
- the test c is **false** if the value of f is < 0

The existence of a loop variant implies that the loop terminates if each evaluation of c and each execution of the loop body terminates.

**Useful fact:** number of executions of loop body is *less than or equal to* the value of f immediately before execution of the loop begins

## Case: Program is a Loop

#### Structure to Consider:

while c do S end while

#### We need to know:

- the worst-case cost to evaluate c
- the worst-case cost to execute S
- the maximum number of executions of the loop body

#### Problem:

it is not even clear that this will halt!

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time A Loop

# Next Objective: Bounding Total Running Time

### Suppose:

- Loop body is executed at most k times
- Worst-case cost for each evaluation of the loop test c is  $\leq T_1$
- Worst-case cost for each execution of the loop body S is  $< T_2$

#### Then:

- Total cost for all evaluations of test c is at most:  $(k+1)T_1$
- Total cost for all executions of loop body is at most:  $kT_2$
- Therefore, the *total* cost to execute the loop is at most:  $(k+1)T_1 + kT_2$

If cost of jth iteration of S is 
$$T_2(j)$$
:  $(k+1)T_1 + \sum_{j=0}^k T_2(j)$ 

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary

Computer Science 331

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Lecture #5-6

#### Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time A Loop

Maximum number of executions of the loop body:

### Example

Suppose A is an integer array with length n, key is an integer, and the following code is executed.

```
i := 0
while ((i < n) \text{ and } (A[i] <> key)) do
  i := i + 1
end while
```

Loop Variant for this program's loop: f(n, i) = n - i

- i increases after each iteration, so f(n, i) decreases
- f(n, i) < 0 if i > n and the loop terminates if i > n

What about 2nd condition in test? ignore (doesn't affect worst case)

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Lecture #5-6

• f(n,0) = n - 0 = n

Worst-case cost to evaluate test:

Example, Continued

• 3 units (two comparisons, one Boolean operation), or constant  $c_1$ 

Worst-case cost for an execution of the loop body:

• 2 units (one addition, one assignment), or constant  $c_2$ 

Upper bound on worst-case cost to execute the loop:

- 3(n+1) + 2n = 5n + 3, or
- $c_1(n+1) + 2n = d_1n + d_2$  for constants  $d_1, d_2$

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time A Nested Loop

## Case: Program is a Nested Loop

### Structure to Consider:

```
while c_1 do
  while c_2 do
    S
  end while
end while
```

#### Method:

- compute worst-case cost of inner loop as above
- compute cost of outer loop using computed inner loop cost as the worst-case cost of the outer loop's body

An example will be covered in next week's labs.

# Case: Program Calls Itself a Constant Number of Times

**Example:** Fibonacci Number Program

```
public int fib(int n)
if n == 0 then
  return 0
else if n == 1 then
  return 1
else
  return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
end if
```

## Objective: Writing an Expression for the Running Time

Let T(n) be the number of steps used on input n. Then

$$T(n) \le \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 3 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 6 + T(n-1) + T(n-2) & \text{if } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

This is an example of a recurrence relation:

- T(n) expressed using the same function T evaluated at smaller inputs
- Explicit (non-recursive) values of T given for small inputs n (base cases)

$$T(2) \le 6 + T(1) + T(0) = 11$$
,  $T(3) \le 6 + T(2) + T(1) = 20$ , etc...

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time Lower Bounds

## Finding a Lower Bound

In order to prove that the worst-case running time of a program P is at least T for input size N (for a fixed N):

- Find a valid input I of size N (where "valid" means that P's precondition is satisfied)
- Count the number of steps used by P on input I
- If this number is greater than or equal to T then you have proved what we want!

Why This Works:

• worst-case cannot be less than the running time of any particular input

## Analysis of Recursive Programs

The following exercises on computing bounds on T(n) can be solved using mathematical induction.

#### Exercises:

Use the above information to prove that

$$T(n) \leq 6 \times 2^n - 4$$

for every integer n > 0.

2 Use the above information to prove that

$$T(n) \leq 6 \times fib(n+1) - 4$$

for every integer n > 0.

Bounding a recurrence using induction is one way to analyse recursive programs (you will learn others in CPSC 413).

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Lecture #5-6

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time Lower Bounds

# Finding a Lower Bound, Continued

In order to prove that the worst-case running time of a program P is at least T(n), for a function T(n):

- Find a collection  $l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, \ldots$  of inputs, where  $l_i$  is a valid input of size i for all i > 1
- Show that the number of steps used by P on input  $I_i$  is greater than or equal to T(i), for every integer i > 1

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time Lower Bounds

### A Common Mistake

Some people try to prove that the worst-case running time of a program Pis at most T(n), for a function T(n), by doing the following:

- They give a collection  $l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots$  of inputs, where  $l_i$  is a valid input of size i for all i > 1
- They show (generally, correctly) that the number of steps used by P on input  $I_i$  is less than or equal to T(i), for every integer i > 1.
- They then conclude that the worst-case running time of P on inputs of size n is at most T(n) (for all n)

#### Why This is Incorrect:

• does not prove that there no inputs for which the running time is larger

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

Lecture #5-6

Mike Jacobson (University of Calgary)

Computer Science 331

References

## Further Reading . . .

- Textbook, Section 4.2
  - As an example there shows, we can sometimes use summations to find better bounds on the time used by loops
  - Also includes material we will cover in lectures next week
- Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein Introduction to Algorithms, Second Edition
  - available as an ebook
  - includes *much* more material about this topic

### A Variation on This Mistake

Frequently, on tests, students do almost what has just been described: Before stating their conclusion, they write

Worst-Case Analysis of Running Time Lower Bounds

Clearly, program P takes at least as much time on input I; as it does on any other input of size i. ...

- ... and the Comment the Marker Provides is ... Incorrect — only proves a lower bound on worst-case
- ... and the Mark That is Assigned is ... zero