

Lecture #13: Simulations and Simple Turing Machine Variants

Key Concepts

Recall that a **simulation** is something that can be presented to relate the power of two models of computation. In order to show that the machines described by a **second** model of computation are (in some sense) at least as powerful or efficient as the machines described by a **first** model of computation, we generally do the following:

- (a) Consider an arbitrary machine M , of the type described by the **first** model of computation.
- (b) Use M to define another machine $\widehat{M}$, of the type described by the **second** model of computation.
- (c) Prove that $\widehat{M}$ solves the same problem as M .

Step (b) can be expanded as follows:

- Begin by describing — as clearly and completely as you can — how a configuration of the first machine, M can be represented when a machine, $\widehat{M}$, of the second type is being used.

When $\widehat{M}$ is a kind of Turing machine this will, generally, include describing how many tapes $\widehat{M}$ has, and what they are used for. It might also include describing $\widehat{M}$'s tape alphabet, $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

This will, ideally, make it significantly easier, to describe the following:

- **Initialization:** Let Σ be the input alphabet for M — so that it must be the input alphabet for $\widehat{M}$, as well. Describe how $\widehat{M}$ begins with its initial configuration for an input string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ and moves to a representation of M 's initial configuration for the same input string.

- **Step-by-Step Simulation:**

For configurations C_1 and C_2 of M , such that M moves from configuration C_1 to configuration C_2 using a single step, describe how $\widehat{M}$ moves from a representation of C_1 to a representation of C_2 .

- **Cleanup:**

Describe anything more that $\mathcal{M}$ must do, to end its computation, after simulating M 's final step.

Note: For Turing machines that recognize (or decide) languages, there might not be anything, here, to describe.

If $\widehat{M}$ is a Turing machine then it is possible that $\widehat{M}$'s transition function, $\widehat{\delta}$, has been described in detail once these steps have been completed. Alternatively, if the simulation is more complex, then enough information has been given so that it *could* be completed, if you had time.

If it is not obvious then a **proof of correctness** of the simulation should be given. When M and $\widehat{M}$ are types of Turing machines, that each have an input alphabet Σ , then this should imply the following: For every string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ and for every non-negative integer t , the following property is satisfied: If the execution of M on input ω uses at least t steps, and M is in configuration C after the first t steps of its execution on input ω , then $\widehat{M}$'s execution on input ω includes a simulation of at least t steps of M and — after the simulation of t steps of M — $\widehat{M}$ is in a configuration that gives a representation of C .