

Lecture #3: DFA Design and Verification — Part One

What To Do Before the Lecture

1. Complete the preparatory viewing or reading for Lecture #3 — noting that each video will probably be understandable if you play it at double speed.
2. **Print** and read through the rest of this document and — if you have time — try to solve the problems! These should help you to begin (but, not necessarily complete the process, for design of a deterministic finite automaton, that is presented).

Note that a **supplemental document** includes another design example. This might be helpful if you are having trouble understanding the design process that you are to use.

Problems To Be Solved

1. Once again, let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ and let

$$L = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{either } \omega \text{ does not include an "a" or } \omega \text{ does not include a "b"}\}.$$

Recall that, when designing a deterministic finite automaton for L , we considered a pair of sets:

$$S_0 = L = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{either } \omega \text{ does not include an "a" or } \omega \text{ does not include a "b"}\}$$

and

$$S_1 = \Sigma^* \setminus L = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \omega \text{ includes both an "a" and a "b"}\}.$$

We were trying to design a deterministic finite automaton $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, whose language is L , such that $Q = \{q_0, q_1\}$ — and such that

$$S_0 = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(q_0, \omega) = q_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \delta^*(q_0, \omega) = q_1\}.$$

Unfortunately, this attempt was not successful — because we discovered that the transition out of state q_0 for the symbol “a” was not well-defined.

In order to consider a transition out of state q_0 for the symbol “b”, we must consider the set

$$\{\omega \cdot b \mid \omega \in S_0\}.$$

Let $\omega \in S_0$. Then three cases might arise.

- (a) *Case:* ω does not include any a's *or* any b's.
 - (b) *Case:* ω includes at least one “a” but does not include any b's.
 - (c) *Case:* ω includes at least one “b” but does not include any a's.
- (a) *Case:* ω does not include any a's *or* any b's:

- (b) *Case:* ω includes at least one “a” but does not include any b's:

(c) *Case:* ω includes at least one “b” but does not include any a’s:

Conclusion:

2. Once again, let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ and consider the language

$$L = \{\omega \in \Sigma^* \mid \omega \text{ ends with } abb\}.$$

Let us try to use the design process, introduced in the preparatory material, to design a deterministic finite automaton (with alphabet Σ) whose language is this language, L .

What Must the DFA Remember?

Representation Using Subsets of Σ^*

Initial Sanity Checks

Identifying Transitions

What Went Wrong: Which Transition was not Well-Defined? Why?