Lecture #13: First Hard and Undecidable Languages Lecture Presentation

Preliminaries: Listing Various Kinds of Infinite Sets

Countable Sets

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of non-negative integers.

A set S is **countable** is there is a total function $f: \mathbb{N} \to S$ that is **surjective**, that is "onto": For every element x of S there exists a non-negative integer n such that f(n) = x.

• Any non-empty finite set

$$S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$$

is countable: Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every non-negative integer n,

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} x_{n+1} & \text{if } 0 \le n \le k-1 \\ x_k & \text{if } n \ge k. \end{cases}$$

This is a well-defined total function from $\mathbb N$ to S. To see that it is surjective, let $x \in S$. Then $x = x_i$ for some integer i such that $1 \le i \le k$, and $f(i-1) = x_i = x$. Since x was arbitrarily chosen from S it follows that f is surjective (and S is countable.

As the examples to follow show, some (but not all) infinite sets are countable, as well.

Countability of the Set of Strings over an Alphabet

Consider an alphabet

$$\Sigma = {\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k}$$

- For every non-negative integer n, the number of strings in Σ^* , with length n, is k^n .
- For every non-negative integer n, the number of strings in Σ^* , with length at most n is

$$\mu(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} k^i = \frac{k^{n+1} - 1}{k - 1} \tag{1}$$

— using a formula for the closed form of a *geometric series* that you have, ideally, seen before.

• Consider a map $\rho: \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho(\sigma_i) = i-1$ for every integer i such that $1 \le i \le k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid j = \rho(\alpha) \text{ for a symbol } \alpha \in \Sigma \} \\ &= \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-1\} = \{0,1,2,\ldots,k-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

• This can be extended to obtain a mapping ρ_n from the set of strings in Σ^* with length n, to \mathbb{N} , by setting

$$\rho_n(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(\alpha_i) \cdot k^{n-i}$$
$$= \rho(\alpha_1) \cdot k^{n-1} + \rho(\alpha_2) \cdot k^{n-2} + \dots + \rho(\alpha_{n-1}) \cdot k + \rho(\alpha_n).$$

Suppose, for example, that $\Sigma=\{0,1\}=\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}$ (where $\sigma_1=0$ and $\sigma_2=1$) — so that $\rho(0)=\rho(\sigma_1)=0$ and $\rho(1)=\rho(\sigma_2)=1$. If n=3 then this defines a mapping ρ_3) such that $\rho_3(000)=0,\,\rho_3(001)=1,\,\rho_3(010)=2,\,\rho_3(011)=3,\,\rho_3(100)=4,\,\rho_3(101)=5,\,\rho_3(110)=6,$ and $\rho_3(111)=7.$

A Useful Property: In general, if $|\Sigma|=k$ as above, and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ then, for every integer i such that $0\leq i\leq k^n-1$, there is **exactly** one string $\omega\in\Sigma^\star$ such that $|\omega|=k$ and $\rho_k(\omega)=i$.

- Consider a mapping $\widehat{\rho}: \Sigma^{\star} \to \mathbb{N}$ such the following properties are satisfied:
 - (i) $\widehat{\rho}(\lambda) = 0$.
 - (ii) For every *positive* integer n, and for every string $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ such that $|\omega| = n$,

$$\widehat{\rho}(\omega) = \mu(n-1) + \rho_n(\omega). \tag{2}$$

Once again, consider the alphabet $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ (where $\sigma_1=0$ and $\sigma_2=1$) as above. The values $\widehat{\omega}(\omega)$, for every string $\omega\in\Sigma^\star$ such that $|\omega|\leq 3$, is as shown in the following table.

ω	$n = \omega $	$\mu(n-1)$	$\rho_n(\omega)$	$\widehat{ ho}(\omega)$
λ				0
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	2
00	2	3	0	3
01	2	3	1	4
10	2	3	2	5
11	2	3	3	6
000	3	7	0	7
001	3	7	1	8
010	3	7	2	9
011	3	7	3	10
100	3	7	4	11
101	3	7	5	12
110	3	7	6	13
111	3	7	7	14

Now, since $\mu(3) = 15$ one can also see that $\widehat{\rho}(0000) = 15 = \widehat{\rho}(111) + 1$.

It is possible to prove — for *every* alphabet Σ — that the function $\widehat{\rho}: \Sigma^{\star} \to \mathbb{N}$ is an *bijective* function: For every non-negative integer ℓ , there is *exactly one* string $\omega_{\ell} \in \Sigma^{\star}$ such that $\widehat{\rho}(\omega_{\ell}) = \ell$.

Continuing this example, one sees that that, for $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$, $\omega_0=\lambda$, $\omega_1=0$, $\omega_2=1$, $\omega_3=00$ — and the strings ω_ℓ for listed, for increasing ℓ , by continuing down the rows of the table.

Since the function $\widehat{\rho}$ is injective, it has a well-defined *inverse function*, namely, a function $f:\mathbb{N}\to\Sigma^\star$ such that $f(\widehat{\rho}(\omega)=\omega$ for every string $\omega\in\Sigma^\star$ and $\widehat{\rho}(f(\ell))=\ell$ for every non-negative integer ℓ . The function f is certainly surjective (since it is also "injective") — is needed to establish that — for every alphabet Σ — the set Σ^\star , of all strings over Σ , is a *countable* set.

What Does This "Listing" of Strings in Σ^{\star} Formalize?

Application for Turing Machines

Consider the set of Turing machines — as given by strings in the language $TM \subseteq \Sigma_{TM}^{\star}$. One can show that the set of Turing machines is a countable set — and describe a way to *list* all Turing machines in a sequence

$$M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots$$

(where each Turing machine could be listed more than once, but is always listed *at least* once), as follows:

One can also show that the set of Turing machines with the form

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\mathsf{accept}}, q_{\mathsf{reject}})$$

such that $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ (that is, $|\Sigma|=2$) is a countable set — and describe a way to *list* all such Turing machines

 $\widehat{M}_0, \widehat{M}_1, \widehat{M}_2, \widehat{M}_3, \dots$

(where every such Turing machine could be listed more than once, but is always listed at least once), as follows:

What This Gives Us

Claim. There exists a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, where $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$, such that L is unrecognizable.

Proof: By contradiction. Let us *assume* that every language $L\subseteq \Sigma^\star$, where $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$, is recognizable. Then...

What Else Can We Establish Using This Idea?

Why is This Not Sufficient — Why Do We Need the Result in the Notes, Too?