#### Computer Science 351 Introduction to Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Instructor: Wayne Eberly

Department of Computer Science University of Calgary

Lecture #5

#### Goals for Today

#### Goals for Today:

- Introduce nondeterministic finite automata contrasting these with "deterministic finite automata".
- Present two ways to see how a nondeterministic finite automaton processes a string.

*Note:* The notion of *nondeterminism* will be extremely important later on in this course, and in CPSC 413.

#### Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Suppose we "changed the rules" used to provide transition functions for finite automata:

- "λ-transitions" are introduced, allowing the machine to move from one state to another without processing any symbols in the input string at all, and
- the machine is allowed to move from a given state to zero, one, or many states when a symbol σ ∈ Σ or λ is processed.

The resulting "finite-state machines" — now called **nondeterministic finite automata** — could look like the pictures shown on the next two slides.

#### First Example of an NFA

Input alphabet:  $\Sigma_1 = \{0, 1\}$ ; NFA  $M_1$  is as follows.



Note: When processing an initial 1 this machine can

- Follow a transition in order to stay in the start state, *q*<sub>0</sub>; or...
- Follow a *different* transition in order to move to state q<sub>1</sub>; or...
- Do the above and then use a λ-transition after that to move to state q<sub>2</sub>.

So *three* states —  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  — can be reached from the start state by processing 1.

#### Second Example of an NFA

Input alphabet:  $\Sigma_2 = \{a, b, c\}$ ; NFA  $M_2$  is as follows.



#### Second Example of an NFA

Note: When processing an initial 'a', this machine...

- ...can try to follow a transition for 'a' out of the start state but this doesn't work, because there is no such transition!... or
- ...can follow a  $\lambda$  transition to the state  $q_b$  and then follow a transition for 'a' to stay in state  $q_b$ ... or
- ... can *try* to follow a  $\lambda$  transition to  $q_a$  and then follow a transition for 'a' out or  $q_a$  but this doesn't work either, because no such transition exists!

So the *only* state that can be reached from the start state by processing 'a' is  $q_b$ .

#### **Processing of Strings**

In a way, nondeterministic finite automata are like

# Magic!



#### **Processing of Strings**

When a *deterministic finite automaton* is used to process a string there is always *exactly one* transition that can be followed to process a symbol.



#### **Processing of Strings**

On the other hand, when a *nondeterministic finite automaton* is used to process a string there may be zero, one, or *many* transitions that you might choose.



Furthermore, if the nondeterministic finite automaton includes  $\lambda$ -transitions then it is possible to use one in order to change state, without processing any symbols at all!

#### **Processing of Strings**

One can think about processing an input string by

# guessing your way toward an accepting state....

because the string should be accepted as long as there is **at** *least way* to do this, so that an accepting state has been reached when the entire string has been processed.

## Processing of Strings

Consider, again, the first NFA  $M_1$  shown above:



When processing the string 11, one can do the following:

- Use the transition for symbol 1 from state q<sub>0</sub> to state q<sub>1</sub>, in order to process the first 1 and reach state q<sub>1</sub>;
- follow the  $\lambda$ -transition from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  in order to reach  $q_2$ ;
- follow the transition for symbol 1 from  $q_2$  to  $q_3$  in order to process the final 1 in the input string and reach  $q_3$ .

Since  $q_3$  is an accepting state it follows that this NFA *accepts* the string 11.

#### Processing of Strings

Another — more useful — way to think about how a nondeterministic finite automaton processes a string is to keep track of *all* the states that can be reached as symbols are processed.

One reference, *Introduction to the Theory of Computation*, uses "trees of possibilities" to show the states that can be reached when processing strings.

Examples are given — and explained — on the next few slides:

- The first of these displays the use of NFA *M*<sub>1</sub> to process the string 11.
- The second of these displays the use of NFA  $M_2$  to process the string ca.



#### Explanation of This Picture:

- *q*<sub>0</sub> is the start state, and there are no λ-transitions out of *q*<sub>0</sub>, so *q*<sub>0</sub> is the only state that is reachable before any symbols are processed. Thus *q*<sub>0</sub> is shown, all by itself, at the top of the picture.
- As previously noted, a transition can be followed to move from *q*<sub>0</sub> to itself when processing a 1. A transition can also be followed to move from *q*<sub>0</sub> to *q*<sub>1</sub> when processing a 1. Finally, since there is a λ-transition from *q*<sub>1</sub> to *q*<sub>2</sub>, you can get from *q*<sub>0</sub> to *q*<sub>2</sub> when processing a 1 by using the transition (for 1) from *q*<sub>0</sub> to *q*<sub>1</sub> and then following the λ-transition from *q*<sub>1</sub> to *q*<sub>2</sub>.

It is not possible to use  $\lambda$ -transitions to go any further, so the states reachable from  $q_0$  when processing the first 1 are  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$ , and  $q_2$ .

- Therefore, q<sub>0</sub>, q<sub>1</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> are all shown at the next level of the picture (as "children" of q<sub>0</sub>); a dashed line is being drawn between q<sub>0</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> to show that a λ-transition was also used in this case.
- A 1 is drawn to to the left of these levels, centred between them, to show that a 1 was processed.
- The next symbol to be processed was also a 1. Since  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  can all be reached from  $q_0$  when processing *this* symbol as well, these are all shown (in the same way) at the next level, as children of  $q_0$ .

 There are no transitions for 1 out of q<sub>1</sub> — and all the states that are reachable from q<sub>1</sub> by following λ-transitions are also included on the same level of the tree as q<sub>1</sub>, so that we do not need to worry about them.

Thus no children of  $q_1$  are shown.

- A transition for 1 can be used to move from q<sub>2</sub> to q<sub>3</sub>, and there are no λ-transitions that can be used to go farther, so q<sub>3</sub> is shown in the picture as the only child of q<sub>2</sub>.
- The *set* of states that are reachable from the start state,  $q_0$ , by processing 11 are the ones shown (at least once) at the bottom level of picture that is,  $\{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ .

Consider the nondeterministic finite automaton  $M_2$ :





 There is only one significant difference between this example and the previous one: There are λ-transitions out of the start state, so that more than one state is reachable before any of the input symbols get processed.

In particular,  $q_a$  and  $q_b$  are both reachable from the start state,  $q_0$ , by  $\lambda$ -transitions, so they are reachable before any symbols in an input string are processed.

The rest of this possibility tree is created in the same way as the previous one is. Since  $q_b$  is the only state at its bottom level, we can conclude from this that the set of states that can be reached after processing ca is the set  $\{q_b\}$ .

#### Summary of a Process

To determine the set of states that are reachable by processing a string

$$\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n \in \Sigma^*$$

1. Create a set  $S_{\lambda}$  by including all the states reachable from the start state,  $q_0$ , by following zero or more  $\lambda$  transitions.

**2**. for 
$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

- Initialize  $S_{\omega_1\omega_2...\omega_i}$  to be  $\emptyset$
- for every state  $q \in S_{\omega_1\omega_2...\omega_{i-1}}$ , add, to  $S_{\omega_1\omega_2...\omega_i}$ , every state *r* that is reachable from *q* by following a transition (from *q*) for the symbol  $\omega_i \in \Sigma$ , and then following zero or more  $\lambda$ -transitions after that.
- 3. The set of states that are reachable from  $q_0$  by processing the above string  $\omega$  is the set  $S_{\omega} = S_{\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n}$ .

#### Acceptance of a String

A nondeterministic finite automaton *M* accepts a string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  if and only if the set of states that are reachable from the start state,  $q_0$ , by processing the string  $\omega$  includes one or more accepting states  $q \in F$ .

- Thus  $M_1$  accepts the string 11 because  $q_3$  is an accepting state and it can be reached from  $q_0$  by processing this string.
- Thus  $M_2$  accepts the string ca because  $q_b$  is an accepting state and it can be reached from  $q_0$  by processing *this* string.

**Definition:** Suppose S is a finite set. Then the **power set** of S,  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ , is the set of all **subsets** of S. For example, if

$$S = \{x, y, z\}$$

then  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  includes the following eight sets:

- ∅ (the empty set);
- {x};
- {y};
- {z};
- $\{x, y\};$
- {x,z};
- {y,z};
- {x, y, z}.

**Definition:** If  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, including *k* symbols, then  $\Sigma_{\lambda}$  is a set of size k + 1 including all the symbols in  $\Sigma$  as well as the empty string. For example, if  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$  then  $\Sigma_{\lambda}$  includes the following:

- a;
- b;
- c;
- λ.

#### Definition: A nondeterministic finite automaton is 5-tuple

 $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F),$ 

where

- 1. Q is a finite (and nonempty) set of states,
- 2.  $\Sigma$  is a finite (and nonempty) *alphabet*,
- 3.  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\lambda} \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$  is the *transition function*,
- 4.  $q_0 \in Q$  is the *start state*, and
- 5.  $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *accept states*.

For  $q \in Q$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ ,  $\delta(q, \sigma)$  is the *set* of states that can be reached by following a *single* transition for  $\sigma$  out of q.

The NFA  $M_1$  can be formally modelled as  $M_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

- 1.  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\};$
- **2**.  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 = \{0, 1\};$
- 3. The transition function  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_{\lambda} \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$  is shown in the table on the following slide;
- 4.  $q_0$  is the start state;

5.  $F = \{q_3\}.$ 

Computation

What's Next?

#### Formal Definition of an NFA

A table describing the transition function  $\delta$  is as follows.

	0	1	$\lambda$
$q_0$	$\{q_0\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	Ø
$q_1$	$\{q_2\}$	Ø	$\{q_2\}$
$q_2$	Ø	$\{q_3\}$	Ø
$q_3$	$\{q_3\}$	$\{q_3\}$	Ø

The NFA  $M_2$  can be formally modelled as  $M_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

- 1.  $Q = \{q_0, q_a, q_b\};$
- $\textbf{2. } \Sigma = \Sigma_2 = \{a,b,c\};$
- 3. The transition function  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_{\lambda} \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$  is shown in the table on the following slide;
- 4.  $q_0$  is the start state;
- 5.  $F = \{q_a, q_b\}.$

Computation

What's Next?

#### Formal Definition of an NFA

A table describing the transition function  $\delta$  is as follows.

	a	b	С	$\lambda$
$q_0$	Ø	Ø	Ø	$\{q_a, q_b\}$
q <sub>a</sub>	Ø	$\{q_a\}$	$\{q_a\}$	Ø
$q_b$	$\{q_b\}$	Ø	$\{q_b\}$	Ø

Consider a function  $Cl_{\lambda} : Q \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$ : For  $q \in Q$ ,  $Cl_{\lambda}(q)$  is the set of states reachable from q by following zero or more  $\lambda$ -transitions.

• In Example #1

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_0) = \{q_0\};$$

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_1) = \{q_1, q_2\};$$

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_2) = \{q_2\};$$

- $Cl_{\lambda}(q_3) = \{q_3\}.$
- In Example #2

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_0) = \{q_0, q_a, q_b\};$$

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_a) = \{q_a\};$$

• 
$$Cl_{\lambda}(q_b) = \{q_b\}.$$

 $Cl_{\lambda}(q)$  is sometimes called the  $\lambda$ -*closure* of the state q.

It is now possible to define an *extended transition function*  $\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \to \mathcal{P}(Q)$ : For each state  $q \in Q$  and each string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\delta^*(q, \omega)$  is the set of states that can be reached from q by processing the string  $\omega$ .

This can be "formally defined" as follows:

- For every state  $q \in Q$ ,  $\delta^{\star}(q, \lambda) = Cl_{\lambda}(q)$ .
- For every state *q* ∈ *Q*, every string ω ∈ Σ\*, and every symbol σ ∈ Σ,

$$\delta^{\star}(\boldsymbol{q},\,\omega\cdot\sigma) = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{r}\in\delta^{\star}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)} \left(\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{s}\in\delta(\boldsymbol{r},\sigma)} \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{l}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{s})\right)$$

Application: Evaluation of  $\delta^*$ 

Suppose we wish to evaluate  $\delta^*(q_0, 11)$ . Setting  $\omega = 1$  and  $\sigma = 1$  the second part of the above definition implies that

$$\delta^{\star}(q_0, 11) = \bigcup_{r \in \delta^{\star}(q_0, 1)} \left( \bigcup_{s \in \delta(r, 1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \right).$$
(1)

Setting  $\omega = \lambda$  and  $\sigma = 1$ , the second part of this definition implies that

$$\delta^{\star}(q_0,1) = \bigcup_{r \in \delta^{\star}(q_0,\lambda)} \left( \bigcup_{s \in \delta(r,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \right).$$
(2)

#### Application: Evaluation of $\delta^*$

The first part of the definition implies that

$$\delta^{\star}(\boldsymbol{q}_{0},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{0}) = \{\boldsymbol{q}_{0}\}.$$
(3)

Applying equations (2) and (3), it now follows that

$$egin{aligned} \delta^{\star}(q_0,1) &= igcup_{s\in\delta(q_0,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \ &= Cl_{\lambda}(q_0)\cup Cl_{\lambda}(q_1) \ &= \{q_0\}\cup\{q_1,q_2\} \ &= \{q_0,q_1,q_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Application: Evaluation of $\delta^{\star}$

Applying this along with equation (1), it now follows that

$$egin{aligned} \delta^{\star}(q_0,11) &= igcup_{r\in\{q_0,q_1,q_2\}} \left(igcup_{s\in\delta(r,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s)
ight) \ &= igcup_{s\in\delta(q_0,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \ \cup igcup_{s\in\delta(q_1,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \ \cup igcup_{s\in\delta(q_2,1)} Cl_{\lambda}(s) \ &= (Cl_{\lambda}(q_0)\cup Cl_{\lambda}(q_1)) \ \cup \ \emptyset \ \cup \ Cl_{\lambda}(q_3) \ &= Cl_{\lambda}(q_0)\cup Cl_{\lambda}(q_1)\cup Cl_{\lambda}(q_3) \ &= \{q_0\}\cup\{q_1,q_2\}\cup\{q_3\} \ &= \{q_0,q_1,q_2,q_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally: For every string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ , *M* accepts  $\omega$  if and only if

$$\delta^{\star}(\boldsymbol{q}_{0},\omega)\cap \boldsymbol{F}\neq\emptyset.$$

*M* rejects  $\omega$  otherwise.

The *language* of *M*, *L*(*M*), is the set of strings  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  such that *M* accepts  $\omega$ .

#### Which States are Reachable?

The above formal definitions — including the definition of the "extended transition function" — can be used to write a program that can be used to decide whether a given NFA *M* accepts a given string  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ , **provided that** there is an algorithm (and program) that can be used to compute the set  $Cl_{\lambda}(q)$  for any given state  $q \in Q$ .

An algorithm that can be used to do this will be described in a separate document.

#### What's Next?

NFA's are probably *not* very interesting, by themselves, as computational devices or machine models: The notion of "acceptance" is too complicated.

They **are** useful because of results that can be proved if you know about them. In particular, they help to show how every "regular expression" can be used to generate a DFA with the same language — so they help us to make use of regular expressions.

*Next Time:* A proof that every language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is the language of an NFA *if and only if* it is the language of a DFA as well.